Response and Amendment for U.S. Application No. 10/082,818

Attorney Docket: MR/98-004.C

Page 15 of 16

REMARKS

Claims 17-37 have been canceled without prejudice, and claims 38-71 have been added. The limitations recited in the claims cover subject matter disclosed in the present application as originally filed and in the earlier-filed applications on which it is based. Given their scope and the subject matter they cover, it is believed that claims 38-71 will not require any additional or further searches of prior art nor do they introduce any new issues for consideration.

I. Claim Rejections: Claims 17-37 Rejected Under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

Claims 17-37 stand rejected, under 35 U.S.C. §102(e), as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,323,648 to *Belt et al* ("'648 patent"). Specifically, on page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner contends that:

Belt discloses a peripheral vascular array for Magnetic Resonance Imaging. Applicant's attention is directed to Fig. 1 and the corresponding description. Interface 10 allows for the connection of the NMR scanner to an imaging coil array 10 in a number of combinations or different operating modes. Note that there are at least two receiving circuits and that the number of coils is greater than the number of receiving circuits by at least one.

Belt meets all the limitations of the claims at issue.

In the newly added claims, Applicant has incorporated limitations that are supported not only by the present application as originally filed but also by the earlier-filed applications on which the present application is based. Applicant believes that the '648 patent does not anticipate his claims. Consequently, Applicant respectfully submits that the application is ready to be allowed.

II. Disposition of Canceled Claims

As a sidenote, Applicant informs the Examiner that the assignee of the present application, Medrad, Inc., is also the assignee of the

Response and Amendment for U.S. Application No. 10/082,818

Attorney Docket: MR/98-004.C

Page 16 of 16

'648 patent, the reference upon which the rejection of claims 17-37 was based. The application from which the '648 patent issued spawned

four (4) divisional applications, all of which still pending before

the Patent Office. Claims 17-37 of the present application, which

have been canceled by this Response and Amendment, shall be added in

whole or in part to one of those four divisional applications.

CONCLUSION

Claims 17-37 have been canceled and claims 38-71 added. Prior

to this Response and Amendment, the application contained a total of

twenty-one claims, i.e., two independent claims and nineteen

dependent claims. Upon the entry of this Response and Amendment, the

application will contain thirty-four (34) claims total: four (4)

independent claims and thirty (30) dependent claims.

Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to withdraw the

rejections set forth in the Office Action dated November 18, 2002,

and to consider the claims proposed herein. Applicant believes that

the subject matter of those claims is patentably distinct from that

disclosed in the '648 patent.
If the Examiner has any questions

regarding this Response and Amendment, he is invited to call the

undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully sybwitted

James R. Stevenson

ttorney for Applicant

Registration No. 38,755

Medrad, Inc.
One Medrad Drive

Indianola, PA 15051-0780

TELEPHONE: (412) 767-2400 ext. 3280

FACSIMILE: (412) 767-8899